June 22, 2012

Mr. Pat Martin, M.P.
Chair
House of Commons Standing Committee on Government Operations & Estimates (OGGO)
House of Commons
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6

Dear Mr. Chair:

Re: Strengthening Parliamentary Scrutiny of Estimates and Supply, OGGO report of June 2012

In the spirit of the Parliamentary Budget Officer's commitment to continuing to better serve parliamentary committees mandated to consider the estimates of the government, found in section 79.2 (c) of the *Parliament of Canada Act*, 1985, I am writing to you regarding the recent OGGO report on strengthening the estimates process and the recommendations issued by the committee, which garnered all-party support.

If implemented appropriately, the recommendations would substantially improve the quality of reporting to Parliament. In particular, I am of the view that moving to a Program Activity Architecture control gate would significantly enhance parliamentary scrutiny of public money and, along with the other recommendations, would represent a major leap forward.

As noted by a number of committee witnesses, there are several options to implement the recommendations, including established practices in comparable Westminster jurisdictions such as New Zealand, Australia and South Africa. Such implementations support the feasibility of the committee's recommendations. As an illustration, I have attached a simple mock-up of one manner in which some of the key recommendations could be presented to Parliament via an improved estimates process.

I would be pleased to make myself available to provide whatever advice and support the committee deems appropriate as the steps necessary to implement its recommendations are considered and taken.

I understand that the President of the Treasury Board has also expressed his support for the important work the committee is taking in this area, so I have accordingly copied him to this letter.

Sincerely yours,

Kevin Page

Parliamentary Budget Officer

Cc: Hon. Tony Clement, MP

President

Treasury Board of Canada

Attachment

2012-13 Main Estimates: Agriculture and Agrifood Canada	Outcomes (from the DPR) 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11		Forecast Spending	Main Estimates	Planned Spending (RPP)	Incremental Changes from Budget 2012	Medium-term projection (from RPP) 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17 2017-18		
Agriculture and Agri-Food Spending (\$millions)	3438.8 2585.7 2605.1	2674.7	2991.5	2418.4	3012.5	TBD	2485.1	2410.3	
	Develop the Federal-Provincial-Territorial (FP interests both domestically and internationall Catastrophic Crisis; IM/IT Disaster Recovery R	y; Support ac	tivities that advar	nce knowledge cr	eation and transfe	er, improve products, pro	re for implement ocesses or practice	es, and increase their adoption and	
An environmentally sustainable agriculture, agri-food a		eaumess, min	astructure, know	neuge and imorn	action ivianagemen	nt, reopie work Environ	nent, Program Ki	JN.	
AAFC supports an economically and environmentally sustain environmental challenges in Canada including agriculture's cleaner environment and healthier living conditions for the	s impact on water quality and water use, adap	tation to the	impact of climate						
Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)	177.9	179.5 1093	219.6 N/A	184.6 N/A	184.6 1055		87.7 1055	71.4 1055	
1.1 Environmental Knowledge, Technology, Information a Spending (\$millions)	and Measurement 92.9	89.8	64.3	53.7	53.7		28.4	27.1	
Key Risks	Agriculture and agri-food sector makes decisi	682 ons that incor	N/A rporate sound env	N/A vironmental prac	658 tices.		658	658	
1.2 On-Farm Action Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)	85.0 392	89.7 411	155.3 N/A	130.9 N/A	130.9 397		59.3 397	44.3 397	
	Improved agri-environmental risk assessment								
2. A competitive agriculture, agri-food and agri-based pro Canada's capacity to produce, process and distribute safe,		gri-food and a	igri-based produc	ts is dependent o	n its ability to pro	actively manage and mir	nimize risks and to	o expand domestic and global mark	ets for the sector by
meeting and exceeding consumer demands and expectatio farmers and provide greater security for the Canadian publi	ns. Proactive risk management to ensure food								
Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs) 2.1 Business Risk Management		1,656.0 1337		1,542.7 N/A	2,109.7 1230		1,959.8 1230	1,930.1 1230	
Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)	714	1,452.5 486	1,742.2 N/A	,	1,859.4 418		1,859.9 418	1,858.3 418	
Expected Results Key Risks 2.2 Food Safety and Biosecurity Risk Management System									
Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)	98.1	95.2 342	120.1 N/A	94.3 N/A	97.6 310		22.0 310	12.3 310	
Key Risks 2.3 Trade and Market Development									
Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs) Expected Results		93.4 428 ment and acc	113.0 N/A ess.	114.3 N/A	114.3 416		62.6 416	44.2 416	
Key Risks 2.4 Regulatory Efficiency Facilitation Spending (\$millions)		12.1	27.0	35.7	35.7		12.6	12.6	
Full-time Equivalents (FTEs) Expected Results	49 Increased minor-use pesticides, reduced-risk	57	N/A	N/A	62	nts that are permissible o	62	62	
2.5 Farm Products Council of Canada Spending (\$millions)		2.8	3.2	2.7	2.7		2.7	2.7	
Full-time Equivalents (FTEs) Expected Results Key Risks	The domestic market share of Canadian produ	24 ucers of chick	N/A en, eggs, hatching	•	is stable or increa	asing; Consumer prices fo	24 or chicken, eggs a	24 nd turkey are more stable than thos	e of other animal
3. An innovative agriculture, agri-food and agri-based pro									
Sector innovation includes the development and commerciato capture opportunities and to manage change. Such innocommunities.									
Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)		486.7 1673	427.8 N/A		415.4 1715		165.6 1715	140.1 1715	
3.1 Science, Innovation and Adoption Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)		360.3 1488	299.6 N/A	339.4 N/A	339.4 1536		147.8 1536	123.7 1536	
Expected Results Key Risks	Agriculture and agri-food sector that utilizes s					ed products.	1550	1550	
3.2 Agri-Business Development Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)	45	104.3 42	102.3 N/A		56.0 41		12.8 41	11.5 41	
Expected Results Key Risks 3.3 Rural and Co-operatives Development	Increased realization of business goals.								
Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)	88	21.1 91	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N/A	20.0 88	onor-time.	5.2 88	5.2 88	
Key Risks 3.4 Canadian Pari-Mutuel Agency	New economic activities are being developed	in rural comi	munities; Canadia	ans are better ab	e to utilize the co-	-operative model to mee	t their economic	and social needs.	
Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs) Expected Results		1.0 52 t is fair to the	0.0 N/A Canadian betting	N/A	0.0 50		-0.2 50	-0.3 50	
Key Risks	•			5 P # # # # #					
4. Internal Services Spending (\$millions) Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)		352.5 2163	338.6 N/A		302.8 2117		272.0 2117	268.7 2117	
Expected Results Key Risks			,	·					
Notes:	1 1			2	3				
 1- Program Activity Architecture changed- does not permit 2- Forecast spending reflects authorized funding levels to y 3- Planned spending reflects funds already brought into the 	rear end, not necessarily forecast expenditures		thorized through	the Estimates pr	ocess (for the 201	2-13			
through to 2014-15 planning years) as presented in the Annot been adjusted to include new information contained in		-			in the governme	nt fiscal plan, but yet to l	oe brought into th	ne Department's reference levels. Pl	anned spending ha
	I		I						